



PPCA Submission to the National Cultural Policy

Revive: A place for every story, a story for every place

May 2026

Executive Summary

- Australian music is a national economic and export asset, generating more than \$10.7 billion in revenue and over \$1 billion in exports in 2024–25. The talent, businesses and private investment are already here, now is the time to enhance and enable growth and success. The policy and regulatory decisions made by governments in this policy will shape Australian music for generations.
- PPCA supports the joint music industry submission *Next Generation Now* and welcomes the strong foundations established through *Revive*, particularly the creation of Music Australia, a dedicated national agency for contemporary music. The next National Cultural Policy should build on this momentum with a sharper focus on industry and artist revenue growth, exports, talent development and long-term global competitiveness through the establishment of an elite school for contemporary music attached to AFTRS.
- Removal of regulatory barriers to artist and label income should be a priority of this new cultural policy. Radio royalty caps in the Copyright Act are outdated, distorting the market, and directly suppress earnings for Australian recording artists and sound recording rightsholders. Removing these caps is one of the simplest and most cost-effective reforms available to government to increase creator income, strengthen reinvestment into Australian music and support industry sustainability, at no cost to government.
- This statutory limit on radio royalties is unique. No other country. No other type of copyright. No other users of sound recordings. No other country places statutory limits on what artists and rightsholders can earn when their sound recordings are monetised by radio broadcasters.
- Removing the cap and the ABC radio fixed rate would not automatically change the rate payable or set a new rate. PPCA would still be required to negotiate with Commercial Radio & Audio (CRA) and the ABC to secure any rate rise. If the parties could not agree, the Copyright Tribunal would determine a new rate. PPCA is merely seeking the *ability* to negotiate a fair market rate for artists and sound recording rightsholders when their recordings are played on radio.
- Without a strong copyright framework operating in the AI age, cultural policy will be irrelevant. Despite self-serving claims made by some of the world's most powerful companies, Australia's current copyright framework is fit for purpose for AI. It is aligned with global markets; it underpins innovation and is the economic foundation of the creative industries and the digital economy.
- Maintaining strong copyright settings requiring consent *and* compensation is critical to ensure Australian music businesses and artists share in the economic opportunities from AI and that the market for music is not entirely undermined by a flood of AI slop, crippling existing revenue streams and future investment in local music.



- Any proposal that allows AI companies to use copyright material without consent will undermine Australian creators, weaken export opportunities, and cut Australian rightsholders out of emerging global licensing markets. Commercial licensing markets for the use of copyright material in AI training must be allowed to develop and operate. Access schemes or funds that bypass copyright and remove artist and rightsholder control over use of their music for AI must be ruled out.
- Emergency levies or other mechanisms would simply delay the development of the licensing market in Australia and allow AI companies to continue their well-resourced and intense lobbying to secure exceptions and favourable terms. Instead, the government must simply rule out any shortcuts for AI companies and reinforce existing copyright law.
- PPCA supports the ARIA submission to the NCP, particularly the recommendation to elevate Australian music quotas to a legislative obligation to ensure local audiences are hearing Australian songs and sounds. The obligations should operate during peak listening hours, with mandatory daytime compliance, independent oversight of format classifications and stronger minimum Australian music requirements across all formats.



Introduction

The Phonographic Performance Company of Australia (PPCA) welcomes the opportunity to contribute to a renewed National Cultural Policy to shape the future direction of the creative and cultural sector.

Established in 1969, PPCA is a national, non-government, non-profit organisation that licenses businesses and broadcasters to play sound recordings in public or communicate it to the public. Our goal is to contribute to the growth of the Australian music community by promoting the value of using recorded music. PPCA offers blanket licences covering the copyright in sound recordings for licensing schemes including radio and television broadcast licensing, simulcast licensing, and other online uses such as internet radio and audiovisual streaming. PPCA distributes royalties annually to record labels (major and independent), recording artists who own their own recordings, and featured Australian artists on Australian recordings. In 2025 PPCA distributed over \$48 million to its registered artists and record labels. Over the past five years PPCA has distributed \$221,320,261.

PPCA has 4,615 rightsholders and 5,947 featured recording artists registered with PPCA. PPCA represents the interest of record companies and Australian recording artists. While PPCA enables businesses to play a repertoire of quality music, it also safeguards the rights of thousands of Australian recording artists and labels, ensuring that they receive a fair return for their music.

In 2019 PPCA entered into a joint venture with APRA AMCOS and established OneMusic Australia. OneMusic Australia offers businesses a simple way of acquiring the necessary licences to legally play music on their premises by offering a single blanket licence which covers the copyright in millions of songs.

PPCA is also a proud signatory to Next Generation Now¹ – a plan to 2030 to make Australia a music powerhouse. The submission represents a unified voice, and PPCA supports it in its entirety. PPCA also supports the Australian Recording Industry Association submission.

1. Radio Caps

Centrality of the artist is a key foundation plank of Revive and should remain so. Identifying and removing regulatory barriers to artist revenue and ensuring that all local policy and regulatory settings enable local artists to maximise revenue and earnings should be the first goals of the new National Cultural Policy. Given the challenging conditions around live music and artist income streams, this goal has never been more urgent.

The first, simplest and most effective action available to government is to remove the outdated, anti-competitive and fundamentally unfair broadcast radio royalty caps from the Copyright Act 1968. Those caps are directly suppressing the income Australian artists and record labels receive when their sound recordings are played on commercial and ABC radio. Every year that the radio caps remain in legislation, local artists are potentially missing out on millions of dollars in royalties.

Australian artist income

Despite the growth in recorded music, Australian artist income remains flat. The Bass Line, Music Australia's economic survey of the Australian music industry released on 19 May 2026 found that

¹ Appendix A Next Generation Now – Joint Music Industry Submission 2026 (APPENDIX A)



despite total industry revenue of \$10.76 billion in 2024-25, artist income sits virtually flat at \$877 million².

Royalties paid when sound recordings are performed in public or broadcast on TV and radio are an important revenue stream for artists. PPCA administers the licences for radio and television broadcast of sound recordings. PPCA negotiates the appropriate rates and the terms, collects and distributes the money to artists and rightsholders.

What are the radio caps?

The Radio Caps in the Copyright Act 1968 place statutory limits on the royalties the Copyright Tribunal of Australia can determine are payable by radio broadcasters for the broadcast of sound recordings.

Section 152(8) caps the amount payable by commercial radio broadcasters at no more than 1% of gross earnings. Section 152(11) caps the amount payable by the Australian Broadcasting Corporation at a fixed rate of 0.005 cents (half a cent) per head of the estimated population of Australia.

The caps apply only to terrestrial broadcast radio (including DAB+) and do not extend to online streaming services.

These provisions bestow a sizeable commercial benefit for broadcast radio by capping royalty payments at 1% of gross revenue for commercial broadcasters and for ABC radio a fixed rate of \$0.005 per head of population totalling \$139,000 last year.

The Copyright Tribunal confirms the damaging financial impact of the 1% cap

In May 2023 PPCA commenced proceedings in the Copyright Tribunal after negotiations with CRA failed to reach an agreement. In January 2026 the Tribunal determined that the rate should be increased from .4% to .55% of gross revenue. This rate should have been higher.

Despite recognising that since the last licence between PPCA and CRA (that was set in the late 1990s), the promotional value of radio airplay had decreased, and now had access to and uses more sound recordings under the PPCA licence, the Tribunal decision confirms that the 1% cap was a prevailing factor in setting a rate. Amongst more than 140 references to the cap was this clear statement from Honourable Justice Rofe (Deputy President):

*“The 1 per cent cap has always shaped how the parties negotiate, what they think is possible, and the rates that have actually been paid. Ignoring it for valuation purposes creates a market that does not resemble the real one, and risks producing a number that is detached from commercial reality.”*³

Whilst an increase was secured, it would be almost impossible to secure any further meaningful rate increase while the cap remains in place. As noted by the Tribunal:

² [The Base Line, Music Australia, 2026](#)

³ *Reference by Phonographic Performance Company of Australia Ltd (No 2) [2025] ACopyT 3, [447].*



“That value is affected by the existence of the statutory cap, which has overshadowed negotiations since its introduction. By contrast, in the case of the simulcast right, the fair market value was not constrained by the existence of any statutory cap and could, as a result, exceed 1%.”⁴

The decision firmly reinforces long-held concerns that the statutory 1 per cent cap artificially suppresses the value of sound recordings used by radio and creates a growing disconnect between broadcast royalties and rates applying to other uses of recorded music.

The ruling proves that PPCA cannot achieve a fair market rate for artists while the statutory cap remains in place.

Impact of removing the caps

Independent economic modelling commissioned by PPCA and conducted by Mandala Partners in 2024⁵ found that “radio companies are well-resourced and capable of paying higher rates” and that “removing the radio caps is an effective, low-cost way to deliver on the Government’s objective to support the arts industry and promote Australian talent”.

- Removing the caps could lead to an additional \$4.8 million paid to Australian artists in royalties in 2024-25
- Artists played on radio could see up to \$19,100 in additional income per year, or a 78% increase in income
- Top commercial radio stations would maintain profit margins of 15% if they paid the same rate for sound recordings as they do for musical works; the broader radio industry margin would be slightly reduced from 13% to 11%
- Paying sound recording royalties at the same rate as musical works would account for less than 0.3% of the ABC’s total annual budget
- If caps are removed, increased reinvestment from record labels could see double the number of new local artists played on radio for the first time

It is important to note that removing the cap and the ABC fixed rate would not change the rate payable or set a new rate. PPCA would still be required to enter a negotiation with Commercial Radio & Audio (CRA) and the ABC to secure any rate rise. If the parties could not agree, the Copyright Tribunal would determine a new rate.

It would simply mean that PPCA would be able to negotiate a fair market rate with the ABC and a rate not artificially depressed by the 1% cap with commercial radio broadcasters. More radio royalties means more money directly to Australian artists.

PPCA’s Artist Direct Distribution Scheme provides an opportunity for Australian featured artists to obtain direct payment for the playing of their sound recordings in a commercial environment. Under the ex-gratia policy, when Australian recordings are broadcast, 50 per cent of the royalty is paid directly to the Australian featured artist registered with PPCA and 50 per cent to the PPCA registered record company or rightsholder, ensuring local artists directly benefit from local airplay. This scheme is one of the most direct artist income mechanisms available.

⁴ *Reference by Phonographic Performance Company of Australia Ltd (No 2) [2025] ACopyT 3, [391].*

⁵ *Economic impact of removing radio caps for sound recordings, Mandala, 2024 (APPENDIX B)*

This limit on radio royalties is unique

No other country.


No other type of copyright.

No other users of sound recordings.

No other country places statutory limits on what artists and rightsholders can earn when their sound recordings are broadcast by radio broadcasters. Australian recording artists and rights holders receive approximately one-sixth of what UK or Canadian counterparts earn from radio, and one-tenth of Norwegian or Danish rates. Australia is a stark outlier.

Radio broadcast royalty rates

Royalty ranges as a % of broadcaster revenue



Every other user of sound recordings within Australia pays a market rate as well. Schools, universities, gyms, restaurants, nightclubs, television broadcasters, all must pay a rate that has been negotiated in the market.

Disadvantaging Australian recording artists but not foreign songwriters

The Radio Caps create a two-tier royalty scheme for the broadcast of the same song. When a recording of “You’re the Voice” plays on commercial radio, the UK songwriters are paid at market rates as negotiated freely by APRA AMCOS as the copyright collection society for the rights in the composition and lyrics. John Farnham as the Australian recording artist does not. This is written into law. It is only the recording artist and rightsholder for the sound recording that is impacted. Some more examples are shown below.

Track	Recording Artist	Writer
Island Home	Christine Anu	Neil Murray
The Real Thing	Russell Morris	Johnny Young
You don't Own Me	Grace	John Madara/Dave White
Torn	Natalie Imbruglia	Scott Cutler/Anne Preven
Can't Get You Out Of My Head	Kylie Minogue	Cathy Dennis
Better The Devil You Know	Kylie Minogue	Mike Stock/Matt Aitken/Pete Waterman
Hopelessly Devoted To You	Olivia Newton-John	John Farrar
Physical	Olivia Newton-John	Steve Kipner/Terry Shaddick
Love Is In The Air	John Paul Young	Harry Vanda/George Young
You're the Voice	John Farnham	Chris Thompson/Maggie Ryder/Andy Quanta/Keith Reid
Working Class Man	Jimmy Barnes	Jonathan Cain
Absolutely Everybody	Vanessa Amorosi	Mark Holden/Anthony Hicks/James Ingram

Australian artists demand change

Over 500 recording artists have formally called for the removal of these caps. Of these, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] said it best –

Artist Quote (image)

Station executives, I wonder where you would be without music? I reckon over 50 years, you've had a very good run paying next to buggar all for the use of all property which is not cheap to produce. Don't hate me for asking for more guys. It's all about being fair dinkum. I'm not thinking of me after 53 years in the business; it's for our future creatives, keeping them going [REDACTED]



At a time where budgets are tight, and the path to success in the music industry is difficult, removing the Radio Caps would be the single most significant, cost-neutral policy action available to improve artist incomes and support music businesses. These statutory limitations on royalties have no policy justification.

Since 1995, seven reviews have examined the caps. The first six recommended removal. The final recommended a cost-benefit analysis for removal, a recommendation accepted by the Attorney General in December 2025 who agreed “to work with all stakeholders to better understand the potential impacts of changes to the radio broadcast royalty caps.” ARIA and PPCA welcomed the Government’s December 2025 decision to support the recommendation of the Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Legislation Committee Report on the Copyright Legislation Amendment (Fair Pay for Radio Play) Bill 2023 and agree to conduct a cost-benefit analysis.

Next steps

On 24 March 2026, PPCA welcomed the Attorney-General’s commitment to conduct a cost benefit analysis of removing the caps. PPCA is happy to participate in this process as a pathway to removing the caps but further delay would signal a deprioritisation of Australian recording artists and rightsholders in favour of commercial radio and the ABC. The Government’s own Revive policy commits to ‘a robust copyright framework’ and the ‘Centrality of the Artist.’ The caps are directly inconsistent with both commitments.

Recommendation: All regulatory barriers to artists and rightsholder income should be removed without delay. The cost benefit analysis of removing the caps should be completed by the Attorney-General’s Department without further delay. The Radio Caps in sections 152(8) and 152(11) of the Copyright Act 1968 should be removed urgently allowing artists and rightsholders to negotiate a fair market rate with commercial radio and the ABC when their recordings are played on broadcast radio.

A. Copyright and AI: the defining regulatory decision for the future of Australian music and culture

Artists, music producers, and musicians have always been early adopters of technology. In the studio, on the stage, and in reaching their fans, technology is baked in. This industry is no stranger to technological disruption. From vinyl to cassette to CD, the arrival of the internet and the Napster shock, and the streaming services driving growth today. No other industry has rebuilt its revenue base after the near-total collapse of physical sales the way recorded music has. Far from a threat, technology has powered the reinvention of music time after time.

Generative artificial intelligence represents the next major technological transition for the global music industry, and that transition is already underway. Whether the industry, artists, and audiences can realise the significant opportunities this technology presents will depend on getting the policy settings right at this critical regulatory and political moment.

Critically, Australia’s unique First Nations cultural and artistic heritage must not be exploited and be open to appropriation and misuse by AI technologies. Beyond ensuring consent through copyright legislation, this should include at the least, consultation, co-design and community consent protocols. Compensation without consultation or consent is not enough. Cultural rights operate differently than copyright. They are communal rather than individual, perpetual rather than time-limited, and governed by cultural authority that cannot be assigned through standard licensing

agreements. Any regulated statutory or regulated collective licensing regime risks enabling inappropriate, harmful, or culturally unsafe uses of Indigenous copyright material.

Artist Quote

"It's the innate human quality of the art and the authenticity that we strive to create, that our audience strives for and expects from us as artists. And, quite simply, all we're trying to do is future-proof that, to make sure we have sustainable careers. Not just for myself, but for the next generation of young black fellas. From Shepparton, from Wagga, Wagga, from throughout the country, you know? And that is, like, paramount to our art." - Adam Briggs, Senate Inquiry September 2026

Australian copyright law is currently fit-for-purpose for AI, aligning closely with global copyright standards including the United States and the United Kingdom.

Copyright is the foundation of the digital economy. It drives innovation and is the currency and the economic value that underpins the creative economy and the music industry. It is the basis of the music business and underpins the livelihood of every artist, business, and person that works in the music industry.

Licensing deals are happening, covering local artists and rightsholders

In this fast-moving and developing market, numerous music licensing deals have already been struck between major and independent record labels and AI companies⁶. As is the usual practice in music, most of these licensing deals are global covering all territories including Australia. Central to many of these deals are consent to the uses that the AI tools can make of sound recordings and its components such as artist voice and likeness. This is a negotiated outcome on guardrails around permitted AI uses agreed between the rightsholder and the AI company. Neither a compulsory licence nor an extended collective licensing (ECL) scheme would permit these critical artist protections. Compulsory or extended collective licensing would remove or restrict voluntary negotiations, diminish the bargaining power of rightsholders, and force the artificial devaluation of works across the board. Without consent generative AI could undermine the entire marketplace for sound recordings by permitting the instant creation of millions of entirely substitutable recordings.

Australian copyright law is consistent with global laws

Australia is not an outlier. No major jurisdiction has expressly legalised the unlicensed use of copyrighted works for commercial AI training.

Jurisdiction	Position
Australia	No TDM exception. Government confirmed in 2025 that use of copyright content requires permission and agreed terms of use including payment.
United States	No TDM exception. The White House 2026 National AI Policy Framework states that existing copyright law applies and the courts will continue to decide what is fair use. There are currently over 150 active AI copyright lawsuits in the US. Most major AI licensing deals are being struck in the US.

⁶ ARIA, *AI Music Licensing Deals, 2026 (APPENDIX C)*

Jurisdiction	Position
United Kingdom	In March 2026 the UK Govt announced it would not adopt a broad TDM exception. A House of Lords inquiry recommended a licensing-first model, calling creative industries facing a 'clear and present danger' from unlicensed AI training. The final report of that inquiry (May 2026) found that a licensing market is already emerging and reinforced the Government's no TDM decision.
European Union	TDM exception introduced in 2019, pre-dating generative AI. It did not result in innovation or investment inflow. Under review for adequacy in the generative AI era.
Canada / New Zealand	No TDM exceptions. Clear, rights-based frameworks retained.
Japan / Singapore	Broad TDM exceptions introduced pre-generative AI. The Japan exception does not apply when an "enjoyment purpose" coexists with analytical purposes, particularly when training intentionally aims to reproduce original expressions. No corresponding surge in AI investment has followed.

A text and data mining exemption by another name

Cultural funds, levies, or other mechanisms proposed by foreign AI companies should be seen for what they are: self-serving attempts to void copyright law and introduce a text and data mining exemption by another name. Companies and interest groups promoting these schemes as a 'solution' or a 'path forward' should be interrogated as to what attempts they have made to put in place licensing arrangements, or what evidence they have that while all other copyright users can license correctly, it is too hard or complicated for some of the biggest and most powerful companies in the world to do so. These groups and companies are not approaching rightsholder groups to discuss these proposals before going to government because they know what they are seeking has nothing to do with "ensuring creators get paid". They are seeking a quick and holistic bypass of Australian copyright law that will effectively nationalise a class of property asset (copyright) and transfer private economic value from Australian creators to AI platforms while replacing revenue for copyright owners with discretionary public support through some unspecified 'fund' managed by government and paid by taxpayers.

These funds or schemes all have one thing in common: they would permit wholesale copying of all copyright material, including international repertoire, for AI training without consent and with vague commitments to unspecified compensation. Not only would these schemes likely breach all international copyright treaties, making Australia a copyright pariah globally, they would result in Australian rightsholders being cut out of global AI licensing deals and royalty reciprocity arrangements.

While PPCA thanks the government for its strong stance on ruling out a TDM exception to date, we urge all policymakers to remain vigilant in the face of hollow promises and threats from technology companies.



Copyright is the legal mechanism that turns creativity into an asset that can be bought, sold, used, and fundamentally that underpins the music industry. A strong copyright framework doesn't hinder creativity. It is the condition that makes further creation possible through investment.

If copyright settings are weakened or circumvented, what remains is a landscape where creators lose control, cultural material is extracted without consent, and immense economic value flows offshore to fund the valuations of the world's most powerful technology corporations.

Recommendation: The government must rule out any change to the Copyright Act that would allow AI training on copyright materials without requiring consent *and* compensation of rightsholders and recording artists.

Regulatory changes should be aimed at strengthening, not weakening, the functioning licensing market through meaningful transparency, record-keeping, and disclosure obligations on AI providers to ensure rightsholders can identify uses and enforce their rights effectively.



Appendix

- A. Next Generation Now, Joint Music Industry Submission, 2026
- B. Economic impact of removing radio caps for sound recordings, Mandala, 2024
- C. ARIA, AI Music Licensing Deals, 2026



ABOUT PPCA

Phonographic Performance Company of Australia (PPCA) is a non-profit copyright collecting society that provides blanket licences for the use of recorded music in Australia. Revenue generated from licensing is distributed to registered record labels and artists so they can continue to make music for all of us to enjoy.

We acknowledge First Nations people as the Traditional Owners and sovereign custodians of the lands on which we work and live. We recognise their continuing connection to Country and their respective nations across this continent and pay our respects to their Elders past and present. We also celebrate the unique and inspiring creativity and songlines of the world's oldest living culture and give thanks for the immeasurable influence First Nations people continue to have over the music and art we all enjoy.



Joint Contemporary Music Industry Submission to the National Cultural Policy Consultation 2026

May 2026

NEXT GENERATION NOW

REVIVE 2028–2032

FAST FORWARD TO 2030

A ten-year-old in Townsville is forty minutes into her weekly music class, learning to read notation and write her first song. A young family drives to a festival on the outskirts of Geelong, one they've been to every year since their kids were small. A retiree in Port Augusta tunes into his local community station and hears something new, something unmistakably Australian. A twenty-two-year-old in Blacktown discovers an artist on a streaming platform actively recommending local music playing a gig down the road. A first-year artist manager in Darwin secures a paid internship with a senior manager, learning what took the seasoned veteran a decade. In a rehearsal room in Fremantle, a First Nations songwriter finishes a track that will be recorded, published and generating global royalties within the year. And somewhere between Canberra and Wangaratta, a young band is on the road finishing their second successful grassroots regional tour.

The policy settings that made all this possible were put in place by two successive national cultural policies.

A National Song Academy producing world-class songwriters, recording artists and producers. Universal sequential music education in primary schools. A network of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Music Centres generating new IP from remote and regional communities. The recommendations of the First Nations Music Industry Review implemented. Revive Live a permanent fixture of the arts funding architecture. Sound engineers, production crews, venue operators and festival producers working in an industry with proper workforce pathways. Australian composers and recording artists soundtracking films, television series and games screening around the globe. Australian music not just competing on the global stage but shaping it.

2030. Australia is a music powerhouse.

BUILT ON WHAT WE HAVE ALREADY ACHIEVED

Revive was transformational for the way government and industry partnered through the establishment and long-term investment of Music Australia. The First Nations First Board has cultural authority over its own funding. Creative Workplaces is addressing systemic harm, and Support Act has built the social and emotional wellbeing infrastructure that sustains mentally healthy workplaces. The decision to reject text and data mining exceptions for AI put Australian creators sets a precedent the world follows. These are structural policies and investments that changed how the Commonwealth engages with contemporary music.

This submission is built on that foundation. It is the next chapter of the same story.

THE CHALLENGE

Since Revive, four converging pressures have reshaped the landscape. The traditional venue economic model is shifting. The regulatory and funding environment remains inconsistent across jurisdictions. Consumer confidence has been hit by cost-of-living pressures and growing market concentration among international touring and ticketing operators. And the platforms where Australian music is discovered; community radio, commercial radio, and streaming are each under pressure.

The stages where Australian artists build their craft are shrinking. Fewer classrooms, fewer venues, fewer community stations, fewer platforms, fewer pathways to discovery: the ecology that builds audiences and launches careers is fragmented and under pressure at every level. This is the boomerang culture. Australian artists increasingly need to export their way to domestic success, to tour internationally and return home with a stamp of global approval before their own country embraces them. The risk is that artists go too early, before their craft is properly honed. Or that they never come back, and Australia loses the creative economy, the mentorship, and the cultural identity they would have built here.

None of this is inevitable. It requires renewed investment in the pipelines, platforms and people that will build the music ecology fit for the digital age.

NEXT GENERATION NOW

Next Generation Now is about the audiences who make it all worthwhile, the teenager going to their first gig and finding a new favourite artist on a streaming platform, the family at a regional festival, the retiree discovering something new on community radio, a worker wowed by the soundtrack to the latest local TV production, and the policy settings that ensure they always have something unmistakably Australian to find.

It is about the pulse that music sends through Australian life. The child whose classroom outcomes improve because music is part of every week. The patient whose anxiety lifts through music therapy. The regional town whose Friday night comes alive when a band plays the pub. The city precinct whose hospitality strip fills because a venue anchors it. The tourist who books a trip because of a festival. The First Nations community whose songs carry language, ceremony and connection to country across generations. The new Australian who finds belonging in a shared room full of music.

Music does not sit alongside Australian life. It runs through it. It is about the next chapter of Australian music across all genres and forms contemporary, popular, experimental, art music, screen, First Nations and everything in between and the full ecology of people who make it possible: the mid-career artist navigating declining radio airplay and capped royalties; the established composer whose screen commissions have shifted offshore; the touring musician whose support slot opportunities have declined; the venue operator who cannot get affordable public liability insurance; the First Nations artist striving for equity; the worker whose wellbeing is impacted by disruption; the artist manager whose workload has expanded to unsustainable levels, for a commission that may never come; and the worker whose skills the live music industry desperately needs.

The centrepiece is a **Next Generation Now** framework that treats music as national IP infrastructure, not cultural decoration. Federal investment in a National Song Academy. Minimum standards for music

education in primary schools. Workforce development for the live music economy. An Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Music Centres Framework modelled on the visual arts network. Regulatory barriers to artist and industry sustainability removed. And a renewed mandate and **\$180 million** over four years for Music Australia to deliver the research, artist development, industry and export programs the sector needs to compete globally.

The ambition to make Australia a net exporter of music is already on the record. This submission is about what we build next: the infrastructure, the investment and the policy settings that make sustainable careers possible at every stage, from first song to global stage, so that 2030 looks like the picture we imagine.

WHAT WE ACHIEVED THROUGH REVIVE

In 2022, the Australian music industry made a coordinated submission to the National Cultural Policy consultation. In February 2023, the Australian Government delivered Revive.

For the music sector, *Revive* delivered:

- Music Australia established with \$69.4 million over four years for Australia's first national music development agency.
- First Nations First Board within Creative Australia with \$20 million Works of Scale fund and First Nations autonomy over funding decisions.
- Creative Workplaces established to promote fair, safe and respectful workplaces, responding directly to the Raising Their Voices report on sexual harm and systemic discrimination in the music industry.
- Significant expansion of Support Act's mental health and First Nations teams, building tailored wellbeing services and embedding mentally healthy workplace practices across the broader creative industries.
- Copyright framework maintained, setting the foundation for Australia to become the first major jurisdiction to reject text and data mining exceptions for AI.
- Revive Live launched to support the development of venue and festival live music infrastructure.
- SVOD local content obligations secured, meeting the Australian Content and Children's Television Standards (ACCTS), creating new opportunities for Australian screen composers.

These are structural investments that changed how the Commonwealth partners and co-invests in contemporary music. Several Revive commitments remain outstanding: increased creative practice in classrooms, songwriting and recording initiatives in schools, and stand-alone legislation to protect First Nations traditional knowledge and cultural expressions on digital platforms. This submission carries them forward.

FIVE PRIORITIES FOR THE NEXT NATIONAL CULTURAL POLICY

This submission is structured around the five pillars of the National Cultural Policy. Each priority identifies the cross-government connections that make music a whole-of-portfolio investment, not a cultural sideshow.

PILLAR 1: FIRST NATIONS FIRST

PORTFOLIOS: INDIGENOUS AUSTRALIANS · ARTS · TRADE · HEALTH · REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT

For Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, music is a cultural determinant of health, a vehicle for language transmission, a foundation of social and emotional wellbeing, and an engine for economic development in remote and regional communities. Investing in First Nations music is simultaneously an investment in health, language, cultural sovereignty and economic development. Cultural policy must shift from the language of ‘recognise and strengthen’ to the language of ‘invest, implement and sustain’.

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Music Centres Framework

Establish a Music Centres Framework modelled on the Indigenous Visual Arts Industry Support (IVAIS) network, using community radio infrastructure as its backbone to create songwriting, recording, distribution and royalty administration hubs in remote and regional communities. This generates IP, preserves cultural expression, creates export pathways and builds the economic infrastructure that turns creative output into durable community returns, modelled on what IVAIS achieved for visual arts over thirty years.

First Nations Music Commissioning Fund

A dedicated First Nations Music Commissioning Fund for First Nations artists and First Nations-led organisations, building on the success of the NATSIMO Lifecycle grants. Alongside this, a First Nations Music Skills and Workforce Capacity Building Plan that develops pathways beyond performance: producers, promoters, technical crew and administrators. Self-determination must be an embedded principle across all delivery.

Cultural consultation as an eligible cost

Consultation with First Nations communities is a cultural process that takes time and requires the involvement of many voices across diverse traditional owner and language groups. Make cultural consultation costs explicitly eligible in all project grant budgets rather than absorbed into already-stretched creative budgets.

On-Country producer and presenter development

Pilot regionally-based, on-Country First Nations producer and presenter professional development programs, drawing on existing networks across the Northern Territory, Far North Queensland and beyond. Support Act’s ongoing First Nations Music Industry Review, the first of its kind, will provide a critical evidence base to inform these future policy settings.

First Nations ICIP on digital platforms

Deliver stand-alone legislation to protect First Nations traditional knowledge and cultural expressions on digital platforms, as committed in Revive. This is urgent: AI systems are already generating Aboriginal-style content without consent, compensation or cultural authority.

PILLAR 2: A PLACE FOR EVERY STORY

PORTFOLIOS: COMMUNICATIONS · INDUSTRY · ARTS · TRADE

Australian music's story can only be told if Australians can find it. As audiences have fragmented discoverability is the defining policy challenge of this decade. The share of Australian music is falling on the platforms where most listening now happens. Australian music on commercial radio is too often confined to the graveyard shift. The boomerang culture where artists must seek overseas validation before receiving recognition at home is partly a product of policy failure. The fix is updating the rules of the road for a market that has moved decisively to digital.

Commercial broadcast radio quotas

Legislate local content on broadcast radio under the Broadcasting Services Act, lifting it out of a voluntary code, modernising the format settings, time of day play, and bringing digital radio in as the Convergence Review recommended.

Prominence on music streaming platforms

Consult with industry and platforms on a plan to ensure prominence of Australian music across digital service providers in playlists and through passive listening.

Screen content settings

Update the Significant Australian Content test to elevate composer alongside writer and director to properly value Australian music and screen composition in government-supported productions.

Cultural institutions content quotas

Incentivise significant cultural organisations receiving public funding, including symphonies, opera companies and other art music institutions, to commission and program minimum proportions of Australian works across all musical forms, creating durable demand for new works and developing both artistry and audiences.

Michael's Rule

Restore the long-standing industry norm that Australian artists support international acts on tours here, with practical funded promoter incentives and visa settings aligned with Michael's Rule.

PILLAR 3: CENTRALITY OF THE ARTIST

PORTFOLIOS: INDUSTRY · ATTORNEY-GENERAL · TREASURY · ARTS · HEALTH

Australian creators have a genuine competitive advantage: a copyright framework that held the line on AI. No text and data mining exception. No unlicensed training on creative work. That decision ensures Australia is leading the world in the development of innovative and ethical licensing frameworks the global digital economy will need. The next step is to build on that position by ruling out any dilution of copyright.

Cultural digital economy plan

A cultural digital economy plan developed in partnership with the Department of Industry as part of the National Cultural Policy, setting out how Australia harnesses the upside of AI while keeping creators protected, licensed and fairly compensated. Music is already a leading creative input to the digital economy, from gaming to AI-generated content, and Australia's copyright position is a strategic asset.

AI and algorithmic transparency requirements

Meaningful disclosure requirements for AI training data, and licensing pathways developed in consultation with music and technology sectors. Creators cannot currently license their work to AI developers because platforms will not disclose what they are using. Similarly, efforts to meaningfully increase Australian music discoverability on digital service providers will fall short without the algorithmic transparency required to inform them. Transparency is the precondition for a functioning market.

Sustainable careers across the sector

Support Act investment of \$8 million over the forward estimates to fund industry-specific mental health and crisis relief services for music and creative industry professionals. The contemporary music workforce faces elevated psychosocial risks that require dedicated industry-specific support. A review of tax settings, including royalty treatment, bilateral tax treaty reform and recognition of music publishing as an export industry, to ensure the fiscal framework supports sustainable creative careers.

Radio royalty caps removed

Remove the outdated statutory cap and fixed rate on commercial and ABC broadcast radio royalties for sound recordings so Australian artists and rights holders are paid fairly when commercial radio broadcasters and ABC Radio build products and services based on the use of music. Australia is the only jurisdiction in the world where artists and rightsholders are stripped of the right to negotiate a fair market value for the commercial use of their work by a cap on royalties.

PILLAR 4: STRONG CULTURAL INFRASTRUCTURE

PORTFOLIOS: INDUSTRY · TREASURY · EMPLOYMENT · HEALTH · REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT · INFRASTRUCTURE

Live music is the engine room of the industry and the most visible part of it for most Australians. Grassroots and mid-tier venues are the R&D infrastructure of Australian music where careers are built, audiences are formed, workers are trained and export success begins. This infrastructure is under structural pressure from rising costs, insurance challenges, workforce shortages and a funding environment that can inadvertently favour large international operators over the independent sector that incubates most Australian talent.

Live music tax offset

A targeted live music tax offset for venues, festivals and touring artists, modelled on existing screen and digital games incentives, recommended as the first priority by the 2025 House of Representatives Standing Committee on Communications and the Arts. Oxford Economics modelling confirms \$636–920 million in GVA uplift, up to 10,800 jobs created, 322,500 additional gigs annually. For every dollar invested, live music returns three dollars to the community through tourism, hospitality and local economies. The tax offset is the single most efficient lever available to government for growing the live music economy, supporting artists at every career stage and sustaining the venues and festivals where Australian careers are built.

Revive Live: make it permanent

Lock in Revive Live funding as a permanent fixture of the forward estimates rather than short-term grants, providing the certainty venues and festivals need to plan and invest. Over its first two years, Revive Live delivered \$21 million in support to 217 live music businesses, including 119 music festival activities and 98 live music venues. A final \$12.5 million is committed for 2026-27. Festivals, live music and events are major public-facing cultural events, artist development pipelines, tourism drivers and training grounds for the workers, contractors and suppliers who power the live music supply chain. In regional Australia, a festival is often one of the principal cultural and economic events of the year. Targeted investment in smaller and emerging venues and festivals is essential: these operators take the greatest creative risks on early-career Australian artists, face the highest cost barriers and build the pipeline of audiences and acts who go on to fill larger stages.

Workforce and skills

Critical investment addressing urgent skills shortages across the full music workforce, artist managers, booking agents, label and publishing professionals, marketing experts, music supervisors, sound engineers, lighting technicians, production managers and tour crew, connecting music industry needs with broader employment and training frameworks. The full ecosystem of roles that connect artist to audience is under pressure and deserves dedicated investment.

Youth music participation and pathways

Establish a national youth music participation and pathways program aligned with The Push's A National Plan for Young Australians and Music. This includes all-ages live music access, high school music events, youth-led event teams, and mentoring and workplace learning opportunities that connect young people with Australian artists, venues, festivals, broadcasters and industry employers. A national audience

development scheme including a My Gig Pass under-25 ticket subsidy modelled on the NSW program, all-ages touring circuits, regional and outer-suburban programming, and partnerships with broadcasters, streaming platforms, venues and festivals focused on Australian music discovery and creating pathways for young people to move from audience member to artist, worker, promoter or cultural leader.

Venues as essential cultural infrastructure

Formally recognise independent live music venues as essential cultural infrastructure within national policy settings, on a par with other cultural institutions that receive public support and protection.

Grassroots Music Fund

A Grassroots Music Fund, seeded by a levy on major commercial event ticket sales, that reinvests into grassroots and mid-tier venues, emerging artists and development pathways.

Competitive neutrality

Embed competitive neutrality principles in future music funding frameworks to ensure public investment grows the overall ecosystem rather than displacing the independent sector.

PILLAR 5: ENGAGING THE AUDIENCE

PORTFOLIOS: EDUCATION · TRADE · FOREIGN AFFAIRS · TOURISM · INDUSTRY

The most powerful audience development tool available to government is hiding in plain sight: universal sequential music education. A child who receives sequential music education does not only become a better candidate for a career in music. They become a more engaged, more loyal, more economically active music audience member for the rest of their life. They go to gigs. They seek out new artists. They take their own children to concerts. The return on that primary school investment compounds over sixty years. And the same artists who find their audiences at home become the cultural exports who carry Australia to the world. The next phase of national cultural policy should also be informed by The Push's A National Plan for Young Australians.

National Song Academy

Music education in schools builds the foundations. A National Song Academy builds the pinnacle. There is no equivalent elite, government-funded institution for contemporary music in Australia. AFTRS' own research shows 75 per cent of screen industry professionals undertook formal training, with 41 per cent citing it as key to their career growth. The same pipeline logic applies to music. Sweden has Musikmakarna. The UK has the Brits School. Berklee College of Music has shaped a generation of global hitmakers. Establish a National Song Academy attached to AFTRS, with an initial annual cohort of students in a one-year Graduate Diploma covering songwriting and creative development, recording and production, and the business of music including copyright, distribution and marketing. Industry-partnered, hands-on, and designed to produce graduates ready for global careers.

Music education in every classroom

Federal investment in music teacher training in universities and for existing teachers. Minimum 60 minutes per week of sequential music education in primary schools as recommended by the Music Education Advisory Group. Continued funding for artists-in-classroom programs and school songwriting and recording initiatives.

Music Australia: a renewed mandate

\$180 million over four years for Music Australia to develop and promote artists, drive innovation, deliver research programs and support export development. Music Australia can become for Australian music what Screen Australia is for screen: a strategic investment partner that builds markets and positions the country internationally.

Export and cultural diplomacy

Australian music is a globally competitive export, with over 45 billion streams in the US alone in 2024 and more than 80% of royalties now earned offshore. Music stands alongside education, agriculture, and wine as a high-value export sector, delivering both economic dividends and cultural impact.

Government support for music export must be complimented by the trade portfolio to unlock full market potential. Trade missions, like those delivered by Sounds Australia, are proven vehicles for accelerating international outcomes, enabling Australian artists and businesses to secure deals, build strategic partnerships, and access new markets. In 2025 alone, Sounds Australia facilitated 51 events across 14 countries, delivering 320 negotiated outcomes and 157 performance slots, with inbound delegate growth up 120%.

Digital platforms now function as core trade infrastructure, allowing Australian repertoire to scale efficiently across priority markets such as India, Asia Pacific, Latin America, and Mexico. Strategic engagement ensures Australian rights-holders are embedded at a critical moment for these hyper-growth markets, capturing long-tail revenue and strengthening Australia's global position.

CONCLUSION

Australian music is made for audiences. It is made in bedrooms and studios, in rehearsal rooms and on country, in regional towns and capital cities. It is heard at grassroots venues and dusty paddock festivals, through community radio in remote Australia and streaming services in suburban lounges. It is felt at the age of seven in a classroom and at seventy at a concert.

Every investment this submission proposes flows back to that moment of connection between an Australian artist and an Australian audience. That is what Next Generation Now means. That is what 2030 looks like.

We commend these priorities to the Australian Government and commit to working across the sector to support their delivery.

ADDENDUM: MUSIC ACROSS THE PORTFOLIOS

The following maps the cross-portfolio dimensions of each pillar, for the benefit of departmental readers engaging with specific portfolio responsibilities.

First Nations First (Indigenous Australians · Arts · Trade · Health · Regional Development) — For Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, music is a cultural determinant of health and an engine of economic development. The IVAIS visual arts model demonstrates what dedicated infrastructure achieves: IP generation, employment in remote communities, cultural preservation and export returns. Music has the same potential.

A Place for Every Story (Communications · Industry · Trade) — Australian music generated \$975 million in export revenue in 2023-24. Local content obligations on broadcast and streaming platforms are trade policy as much as cultural policy: they create the domestic audience base that makes export success sustainable.

Centrality of the Artist (Industry · Attorney-General · Treasury · Health) — Australia's copyright position is a strategic AI policy asset. Music is a leading creative input to gaming, streaming and AI-generated content. Meta-analyses confirm music-based interventions produce significant positive effects on anxiety, depression and quality of life. Support Act is community mental health infrastructure.

Strong Cultural Infrastructure (Industry · Treasury · Employment · Health · Regional Development) — Live music generates \$4.83 billion in revenue, supports over 40,000 workers and returns three dollars to the community for every dollar invested. It drives night-time economy activity, tourism and hospitality in regional communities. The Scanlon Foundation's Mapping Social Cohesion survey found social cohesion at its lowest in sixteen years; live music is one of the most effective community infrastructure responses.

Engaging the Audience (Education · Trade · Foreign Affairs · Tourism) — Longitudinal research confirms sequential music education improves literacy, numeracy, memory and cognitive development. Children from low-income backgrounds who receive music instruction show measurably better outcomes than matched peers. Music Australia's Bass Line report found the industry generated \$8.78 billion in revenue and \$2.82 billion in direct economic value in 2023-24. Global music revenues are forecast to double to US\$200 billion by 2035.

PREPARED BY:

Association of Artist Managers (AAM), Australasian Music Publishers' Association (AMPAL), Australasian Performing Right Association (APRA) and Australasian Mechanical Copyright Owners Society (AMCOS), Australian Festival Association (AFA), Australian Independent Record Labels Association (AIR), Australian Live Music Business Council (ALMBC), Australian Music Centre (AMC), Australian Recording Industry Association (ARIA) and Phonographic Performance Company of Australia (PPCA), Country Music Association of Australia (CMAA), CrewCare, Live Music Venues Alliance (LMVA), Music Producer & Engineers' Guild (MPEG), National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Music Office (NATSIMO), The Push, Sounds Australia, Support Act, Australian Music Industry Network (inc Music Victoria, QMusic, WAM, MusicSA, MusicNSW, Music Tasmania, MusicACT, MusicNT).




Economic impact of removing radio caps for sound recordings

Report – May 2024

Commissioned by the Phonographic Performance Company of Australia (PPCA)

Contents

Infographic	3
Executive Summary	4
1 Radio caps have artificially constrained artist income since 1968	5
2 Removing the radio caps could increase music income of the most played artists by 78%	11
3 Removing radio caps could double the number of Australian artists played on the radio for the first time	17
4 Radio companies are well resourced and capable of paying higher rates	22
5 Appendix	29



MANDALA

Mandala is a research firm with offices in Melbourne, Canberra, Sydney and Perth. Mandala specialises in combining cutting-edge data and advanced analytical techniques to generate new insights and fresh perspectives on the challenges facing businesses and government.

Views and opinions expressed in this document are prepared in good faith and based on Mandala's knowledge and understanding of its area of business, markets and technology. Opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner without the written permission of Mandala.

Note: All dollar figures are Australian dollars unless indicated otherwise.

Removing the radio caps: recognising and growing Australian music

Radio caps artificially constrain artist income



Radio broadcasters are required to pay a maximum of **1% of industry revenue** for sound recordings on their stations



The Australian Broadcasting Corporation (ABC) only pays **\$0.005 per head of the population**



Royalty rates for broadcast of sound recordings on stations in Australia is **0.4%**



Similar countries have rates between **3% and 7.5%** for broadcast of sound recordings



Since 1995, there have been **six reviews** recommending the **removal of radio caps**

Removing the radio caps would mean...



The most played Australian artists could see a **78% increase in music income**



The number of **Australian artists** played on the radio for the first time could **almost double**



Top commercial radio stations would **maintain profit margins of 15%**

Executive summary

Radio caps have artificially constrained artist income since 1968

Music is an essential part of radio. 63% of listeners said they wouldn't listen to the radio without music.

Songs played on the radio have two types of copyright: the sound recording copyright, owned by the recording artists and the record label, and musical works copyright, owned by the songwriter, composer or lyricist.

The Copyright Act 1968 (Cth) limits the amount that radio broadcasters pay in royalties for sound recording copyright (radio caps). This cap was set (in 1968) at 1% of broadcast revenue for commercial radio broadcasters, and \$0.005 per head of the population for the ABC. There have been six reviews recommending the removal of radio caps.

The actual royalty rate paid by commercial radio stations Australia is 0.4% of broadcast revenue. This is significantly lower than other comparable benchmarks. No cap exists on musical works copyright, which has an average royalty rate of around 3.6%. Other international rates for sound recordings are also much higher. Countries such as Canada, UK and Germany have rates between 3% and 7.5%.

This report illustrates the impact of removing radio caps on Australian artist income, the potential investment in Australian artists and music from increased revenue, and the likely impact on radio profit margins from higher royalty rates.

To estimate the impact of removing radio caps, we have considered a scenario where radio broadcasters pay sound recording royalties at the same rate as musical works. This is an example only, noting rates would be determined by negotiation and the Copyright Tribunal per existing processes.

Removing the radio caps could increase music income of the most played artists by 78%

Artists are at breaking point and typically work several jobs to support themselves. 83% of artists hold multiple jobs, with 65% of artists relying on a non-music job as their main source of income. Festivals, which have been a key source of income for artists, are also being cancelled at a higher rate.

Data shows Australian artists played on the radio received \$0.6m in sound recording copyright royalties in FY23.

Removing radio caps could lead to an additional \$4.8m being paid to Australian artists in royalties in FY25. For Australia's most played artists, this could be up to \$19,100 in additional income per year, or a 78% increase in income from music.

Removing radio caps could double the number of new Australian artists played on the radio for the first time

Record labels play an important role in partnering with artists, investing and supporting creative development and driving their commercial success. In particular, record label investment in artists and repertoire (A&R) helps to identify and develop promising talent, and grow the music industry.

Removing radio caps would increase record label revenue and their ability to invest in the Australian music industry. For Australian repertoire alone, record labels would receive an additional \$4.2m if radio caps were removed.

Data also shows a strong, positive relationship between record label revenue and the number of artists per country. The corresponding increase in record label revenue, and investment, from removing radio caps would almost double the number of additional new Australian artists being played

on the radio (and receiving royalties) each year.

Radio companies are well resourced and capable of paying higher rates

The radio industry allege that removing radio caps would make radio broadcast, particularly for local stations, commercial unviable. However, analysis indicates that radio stations are more profitable than the Australian industry average and the industry is dominated by a small number of large players.

The Australian radio industry has some of the highest revenue per capita globally. The four largest commercial radio stations account for close to \$1 billion in revenue and all maintain healthy profit margins ranging between 12% and 21%. Together with the ABC, these players account for 85% of all industry revenue for the radio broadcast industry.

These companies are also capable of paying higher rates. If the four largest commercial radio companies paid sound recording royalties at the same rate as musical works, they would have an average profit margin of 15%, which is still higher than other Australian industries on average. For the broader radio industry, paying higher rates would marginally reduce profit margins from 13% to 11%.

The ABC currently pay \$130,000 per year for sound recording royalties, out of a total budget of almost \$1.3bn. Paying sound recording royalties at the same rate as musical works would account for less than 0.3% of ABC's total annual budget.

Removing the radio caps is an effective, low-cost way to deliver on the Government's objective to support the arts industry and promote Australian talent.

**1****Radio caps have artificially constrained artist income since 1968**

2

Removing the radio caps could increase music income of the most played artists by 78%

3

Removing radio caps could double the number of Australian artists played on the radio for the first time

4

Radio companies are well resourced and capable of paying higher rates

5


Appendix

Radio caps have artificially constrained artist income since 1968 despite six reviews recommending removal

1474291

CONFIDENTIAL

Music copyright fee determination under the Copyright Act 1968




Reviews recommending the removal of radio caps



Commercial radio pay just 0.4% of broadcast revenue for sound recording copyright...

Revenue breakdown for commercial radio broadcasters

FY23



Source: IBIS World (2023); *Radio Broadcasting in Australia*; Deloitte Access Economics & Commercial Radio and Audio (2023) *Connecting Communities, The economic and social impact of commercial radio and audio in Australia*; PPCA data; Mandala analysis.

...despite music being the main reason people listen to radio



of listeners noted music as **one of the main content** types they consumed¹



of listeners **wouldn't listen to the radio** without music²



of commercial radio broadcast is music³

1 Deloitte Access Economics & Commercial Radio and Audio (2023) *Connecting Communities, The economic and social impact of commercial radio and audio in Australia*; 2 IFPI (2022), *Engaging with Music*; 3 Radio Info (2023), *How much music do music stations really play? (Commercial FM and music-based AM radio)*.

This report estimates the economic impact of removing radio caps on Australian artists and radio broadcasters



**Additional income
for Australian
artists**

Additional income Australian artists would receive if sound recording copyright fees matched musical works



**Increased
investment in
Australian music**

Increased investment in Australian artist development and additional artists in the industry



**Financial impact
on radio
broadcasters**

Decrease in radio broadcaster profit margin as a result of increased sound recording copyright fees

This report estimates the economic impact of removing radio caps on Australian artists and radio broadcasters, and the likely increase in industry investment as a result of additional record label revenue. The economic impact of removing radio caps is measured in 2025 to account for likely policy timelines and considers commercial and ABC radio broadcasting only (does not include online streaming services, community or SBS radio broadcast).

The economic impact of removing radio caps is estimated with two scenarios

To estimate the economic impact of removing radio caps we have considered two scenarios: current rates scenario vs no caps scenario.

The 'no caps scenario' assumes that sound recording copyright owners are paid at the same rate as musical works copyright owners in 2025.

This is an illustrative example only, noting that actual rates will be determined by negotiation and the Copyright Tribunal under the established process.

1 Current rates scenario


- Commercial radio: 0.4% of revenue
- ABC: 0.5 cents per head of population

2 No caps scenario

- Commercial radio: 3.6% of revenue (average musical works copyright fee)
- ABC: \$3.5m (approximate musical works copyright fee)

Radio broadcast royalty rates

Royalty ranges as a % of broadcaster revenue












1 Illustrative revenue percentage of ABC sound recordings copyright fees, based on value paid by ABC in 2023 and total ABC funding and income for the year. 2 3.6% is the average amount paid by commercial radio broadcasters to APRA AMCOS in 2022: Mandala analysis using Deloitte Access Economics & Commercial Radio and Audio (2023) *Connecting Communities, The economic and social impact of commercial radio and audio in Australia*; APRA AMCOS (2023) Annual Report. 3 Music 50-100% of broadcast time. Source: ABC (2023), *Annual Report 2023*; IBIS World (2023), *Radio Broadcasting in Australia*; GVL (2021), *Tariff for the use of commercially published sound recordings in radio programmes*; PPL UK (2021), *Traditional Radio Licence*; Copyright Board Canada (2016), *SOCAN, Re: Sound, CSI, Connect/SOPROQ, Artist - Tariff for Commercial Radio*; Mandala analysis.

1474291 Removing radio caps is an effective, low-cost way to deliver on the Government's policy objectives and support the development of Australian artists

CONFIDENTIAL

Summary of Government music policy goals and outcomes from removing radio caps

 Policy	 Objective	 Addressed by removing radio caps	 Impact
Revive (\$286m)	Improve working conditions		Removing the radio caps would increase the music income of artists by 78%
	Address disincentives to pursuing a career in the sector		
Music Australia (\$69m)	Support and invest in the Australian contemporary music industry		
Export Development Fund (Music Australia)	Increase international presence of Australian artists		
	Boost global recognition of Australian music		



1

Radio caps have artificially constrained artist income since 1968

2

Removing the radio caps could increase music income of the most played artists by 78%

3

Removing radio caps could double the number of Australian artists played on the radio for the first time

4

Radio companies are well resourced and capable of paying higher rates

5

Appendix

Australian artists received \$0.6m in sound recording copyright royalties from radio in 2023

\$3.4m in sound recording copyright fees was distributed to artists and labels in 2023.¹

This is apportioned between international and Australian repertoire based on the music played by radio stations. Approximately 80% of music played on Australian radio is from overseas artists. However, only some countries have protected copyright and are entitled to royalty payments (e.g. US artists do not have protected copyright).

PPCA estimate that 67% of protected music played on Australian radio was international repertoire entitled to royalty payments (\$2.3m).


The Australian repertoire distribution pool is approximately 33% of available funds. 2.5% of this amount is allocated to charitable grants, and the remainder distributed to record labels and Australian artists.

Australian artists received \$0.6m in sound recording copyright fees either directly from PPCA (as a Registered Artist or Licensor) or indirectly via their record label.² Artists received the majority (52%) of the Australian repertoire pool.

The amount paid to each individual artist is determined based on their music's radio plays. This ranged from less than one cent to \$16,370 per artist in FY23.

Final recipients of sound recording royalty distributions from radio plays

Commercial and ABC radio broadcast sound recording royalty distributions, FY23



¹ Distributable amount excludes PPCA costs (approx. 15% of royalty revenue).

² Indirect distribution via record label assumes record labels pass on 50% of revenue to artists: PPCA, major record labels.

Source: PPCA data; IBIS World (2023), Radio Broadcasting in Australia; ABS (2023), National state and territory population;

Mandala analysis.

1474291



Artist #1

2023 Radio Sound Recording Royalty: \$40

Published music

Two recently released albums and six singles, back catalogue of 13 albums (six ARIA top 10).

Awards

18 ARIA Award nominations and five ARIA Awards. Multiple APRA nominations, two J Award nominations, Country Music Awards Australia winner, and one National Live Music Award nomination.

Accreditations

Two Gold and one Platinum release.



Artist #2

2023 Radio Sound Recording Royalty: \$615

Published music

Four album releases and 19 single releases.

Awards

Four ARIA Award nominations, two AIR Award nominations, two APRA Award nominations, two J Award nominations, two National Live Music Award nominations and one Award, one Rolling Stone Australia Award nomination.

Accreditations

Three Gold and one Platinum release.

CONFIDENTIAL



Artist #3

2023 Radio Sound Recording Royalty: \$16,370

Published music

Five album releases (three ARIA top 10) and two at number one, 24 single releases.

Awards

28 ARIA Award nominations and four Awards, seven AIR Award nominations and two Awards, three MTV Europe Music Award nominations, one MTV Video Music Award nomination, three Rolling Stone Australia award nominations, four World Music Award nominations.

Accreditations

Two Gold, five Platinum, nine multi-Platinum releases.

Australian artists are at breaking point and work several jobs to support themselves



Artists are ready to quit

- Nearly 50% of music artists recently considered leaving the industry¹
- Key reasons include financial pressures, mental health and burnout, lack of opportunities and lack of support



Music doesn't cover cost of living

- 83% of artists have multiple jobs¹
- Artists played on the radio still rely on non-music jobs for 59% of their income²
- 69% of artists do not believe they will ever make enough money from music to live on¹




Live gigs are being cancelled

- Festivals are a big supporter of Australian artists – 80% of acts at festivals are Australian³ and live gigs make up 58% of an Australian artist's income¹
- However, 35% of music festivals reported a loss in 2022 - 2023³
- More than 25 music festivals have been cancelled since 2022⁴

An additional \$4.8m would be paid to Australian artists if caps were removed

Increase in distribution to artists if radio caps were removed

FY25




Source: PPCA data; Mandala analysis.

This is equivalent to an additional \$19,130 for the most played Australian artists

Increase in sound recording royalties per artist if radio caps were removed

\$ per artist, Australian artist by distribution percentile, FY25



Removing the radio caps could increase music income of the most played artists by 78%

Average income for Australian artists who receive music royalties is forecast to be \$60,390 in 2025 (\$59,800 in 2022).¹

Australian artists played on the radio still rely on jobs outside of music for 59% of their income.¹ In the current rates scenario, this means Australian artists would earn \$24,640 from music and \$35,750 from non-music roles in 2025.

For the most played Australian artists (the top 160) removing the radio caps would increase sound recording royalties from radio by up to \$19,130 per year – increasing music income by 78% (from \$24,640 to \$43,770).


This is equivalent to a 32% increase in the total income of an Australian artist who receives royalties (from \$60,390 to \$79,520).

Artists who have less radio play, for example songs not in the top charts, would also benefit from removing the radio caps. Artists in the 90th to 95th percentile (the top 160 to 350) could receive an additional \$3,930 – equivalent to a 16% increase in music income. An additional \$250 per year in sound recording royalties is a 1% increase in music income.

Enabling Australian artists to spend less time working second jobs can reduce financial stress and increase time spent making music.

Change in income of Australian artists from removing the radio caps

Increase in income from removing radio caps for the most played artists, FY25



Note: Artists played on the radio defined as musicians who received royalty and advance payments.

¹ David Throsby and Katya Petetskaya (2024), *Getting Back to Making Art Work: an Economic Survey of Practising Professional Artists*.

Sources: PPCA data; Mandala analysis.



1

Radio caps have artificially constrained artist income since 1968

2

Removing the radio caps could increase music income of the most played artists by 78%

3

Removing radio caps could double the number of Australian artists played on the radio for the first time

4

Radio companies are well resourced and capable of paying higher rates

5

Appendix

Record labels play an important role in investing in, and growing local talent



Partnership

Labels partner with artists to support with:

- Upfront investment – advance payment, financial transparency
- Business affairs – licensing agreements, content protection
- Global inter-connectivity – cross-cultural collaborations, audience analysis



Creative development

Labels support creative development through:

- Artists and repertoire (A&R) development through session organisation and song writing development
- Creative development services via photoshoots, styling, video creation and social media development
- Recording such as providing studio access, production, mixing, mastering



Commercial success

Labels play an important role in commercial success through:

- Marketing such as influencer campaigns, social media, posters and billboards, audience analysis and CRM development
- Artist brand opportunities including sync opportunities, brand partnerships and merchandise
- Global distribution via licensed DSPs, merchandise, CDs and vinyl's
- Promotion through TV, radio, in-store performances, podcasts, streaming

Record labels would receive an additional \$4.2m from Australian repertoire

Australia has a relatively small music industry compared to its peers – with \$18.80 of music revenue per capita compared to the US (\$33.10), UK (\$27.50) and Germany (\$19.30).¹

Australia also has a low representation of domestic artists in the Australian charts – with no local artists in the top 10 singles charts in 2023, compared to the US and UK with 40% local artists in their top charts.²

Record labels play an important role in investing in and developing the music industry – particularly in their work discovering and developing artists.

25% of record label revenue globally is re-invested in artist discovery (A&R) and marketing.¹


Record labels would receive an additional \$4.2m for Australian repertoire sound recording royalties if radio caps are removed. International record labels would receive an additional \$19.2m for protected international repertoire.

This additional revenue will drive additional investment in the Australian music industry, including coaching and promoting Australian talent.

This could lead to more Australian artists making it onto the radio and into the top charts.

Increase in distribution to record labels if radio caps were removed

Australian repertoire revenue, FY25



¹ IFPI (2024), *Global Music report*. ² ARIA (2023) *Singles Chart*; Billboard (2023), *UK Music Listening*; Official Charts (2023), *Official top 40 biggest songs of 2023*.

Source: PPCA data; Mandala analysis.

Record label revenue is highly correlated with the number of royalty earning artists

There is a strong, positive relationship between record label revenue and royalty earning artists by country. This means that local talent is more likely to succeed if the domestic music industry is larger and more commercially viable.

This is illustrated by the regression analysis shown in the chart (see right), and is true even after controlling for factors such as GDP and population. This analysis leverages data from IFPI, PPCA and public, open-source datasets.


This is because record labels play an important role in discovering and developing new talent (for example through A&R and Marketing). If a record label earns more revenue in a particular market, it has more capacity to invest that revenue in artists. According to the latest IFPI Global Music Report, record labels invest 25% of revenue into A&R and Marketing activities.

This relationship shows the importance of continuing to grow and support the industry, and the flow on benefits this can have in supporting royalty earning artists.

In FY23, Australia had over 2,800 royalty earning artists and the record label industry generated over US\$300 million in revenue, after accounting for artists' share of revenue.

Record label sound recording revenue and total royalty earning artists

x-axis: total royalty earning artists, y-axis: record label revenue, by country, FY23



The number of Australian artists played on the radio for the first time could double

Approximately 150 new Australian artists are played on the radio for the first time each year (or receive radio sound recording royalties for the first time)


Removing radio caps could almost double the number of additional Australian artists receiving radio sound recording royalties annually. This would increase the number of artists receiving radio sound recording royalties for the first time from almost 150 per year to 280 artists per year.

This increase is estimated using the relationship between record label revenue and the number of artists. By understanding revenue per artist, we can estimate what the expected uplift in additional new artists would be. Removing radio caps will help to unlock additional income for record labels to invest in finding and developing Australian artists and talent. It would also improve the viability of existing artists the currently earn comparatively little royalty income.

This analysis is based of country level data and existing trends on growth of additional artists receiving radio sound recording royalties. This modelling does not account for other factors that may affect growth in artists receiving radio sound recording royalties, including business or industry economic circumstances.

Expected increase in additional artists supported from removing radio caps

Additional Australian artists receiving radio sound recording royalties, FY25



¹ Based on growth in the number of Australian artists receiving sound recording royalties from broadcast radio each year. Source: IFPI (2024), *Global Music Report*; musicbrainz (2024); PPCA data; Mandala analysis.



1

Radio caps have artificially constrained artist income since 1968

2

Removing the radio caps could increase music income of the most played artists by 78%

3

Removing radio caps could double the number of Australian artists played on the radio for the first time

4

Radio companies are well resourced and capable of paying higher rates

5

Appendix

The radio industry is profitable and dominated by a small number of well-resourced companies

1474291

CONFIDENTIAL

Key claims¹ made by the radio industry include...

- “Higher copyright fees are simply unsustainable”
- “Removal of the 1% cap will have a devastating impact on the commercial viability of local radio stations and will erode the vital local services provided by local radio”
- “Without the cap, the record industry could charge whatever it likes for music that the Australian music quotas force the industry to buy”

However, evidence shows that the radio industry is...



Profitable, with high revenues

The Australian radio industry has some of the highest revenue per capita globally and maintain healthy profit margins



Dominated by a small number of large players

Five organisations account for 85% of industry revenue, with over \$1.3 billion in revenue between them




Capable of paying higher rates

The industry would maintain healthy profit margins, even if they were to pay higher rates

Australia's radio industry has some of the highest revenue per capita globally

Annual radio revenue per capita by country


US dollars, 2017



The largest commercial radio companies have healthy profit margins

Profit margins for the top four commercial radio broadcasters

FY23¹



Source: Deloitte (2018); SCA (2024) 2023 Annual Report; ARN Media (2024) Annual Report 2023; Nine (2024) Annual Report 2023; Mandala analysis.

¹ Includes digital audio. ² SMH (2022), Nova radio tunes in with advertising rebound. Based on financial figures from Year End 31 December 2021. Nova Entertainment is privately owned.

The radio industry is dominated by five players with significant resources


The Australian radio industry is concentrated among five large players (SCA, ABC, ARN, Nova and Nine) who control 85% of the broadcast market. The industry is becoming more concentrated over time, with market share of the largest five players increasing 14 percentage points (from 71%) since FY14.²

These five players shared more than \$1.3bn in revenue in FY23 and possess the necessary size and resources to negotiate fair rates for artists and labels without a radio cap, or, in the absence of agreement in the market, by the determination of the Copyright Tribunal.

There are also ongoing developments in the broadcast market, with a proposed takeover bid by ARN and Anchorage Capital Partners for SCA, which would see the company's assets split between the two companies.

Breakdown of radio broadcasting industry market share

% of industry revenue, FY23



Revenue:

\$0.4b

\$0.3b¹

\$0.3b

\$0.2b

\$0.1b

\$1.3b

\$0.2b

\$1.6b⁴


¹ Based on government funding provided to the ABC. ² By share of industry revenue. ³ Includes small-scale broadcasters that are often government-owned or community radio stations. ⁴ Totals may not add up due to rounding.

Source: IBIS World (2023), *Radio Broadcasting in Australia*; SCA (2023), *Annual Report*; ARN (2023), *Annual Report*; ABC Radio (2023); *Commercial Radio and Audio* (2023); Mandala analysis.

Radio stations would maintain solid profit margins if caps were removed

Estimated profit for commercial radio broadcasting industry

Estimated profit, FY25




¹ IBIS World (2023), *Radio Broadcasting in Australia*.

Source: SCA (2024) 2023 Annual Report; ARN Media (2024) Annual Report 2023; Nine (2024) Annual Report 2023; SMH (2022), *Nova radio tunes in with advertising rebound*; Mandala analysis.

The top 4 commercial broadcasters would still be more profitable than other industries

Average profit margins for Australian industries

Profit margins by industry, FY25²



² ABS (2023), *Australian Industry*; financial reports; Mandala analysis. Profit margin calculated based on total income and total expenses. Assume margins stay constant 2023-2025. ³ Includes digital audio.

Regional radio broadcasters have strong profit margins and could afford higher rates

Data indicates that the regional commercial radio market is worth approximately \$475m. While there is limited public data, Grant Broadcasters, which is a regional broadcaster, reported a profit margin of 35% for FY21. Nevertheless, profit margins may vary significantly for other regional radio stations.

This margin is higher than other large broadcast companies with a mix of metro and regional operations in FY21, including ARN (26.5%), SCA (23.8%), Nova Entertainment (12.3%) and Nine Entertainment (26.8%).^{1,2}


In 2022 Grant Broadcasters was acquired by Here, There and Everywhere (HT&E). ARN is a wholly owned subsidiary of HT&E.

A large proportion of regional radio stations are now also owned by SCA and ARN. These two companies own 78 and 47 regional radio stations each respectively, and account for more than 50% of all regional commercial radio stations.³

These large organisations have gained significant economies of scale due to their size and serve regional areas at low cost, through measures such as syndication, whereby content is broadcast across multiple radio stations around the country.

Grant Broadcasters profit margin

FY21



“

The Grant Broadcasters business is extremely profitable, there's about a 35 per cent margin which is superb for a regional radio business like that. It's lean in how it operates.

Ciaran Davis, CEO of HT&E

Source: AFR (2021), HT&E snaps up radio company to capitalise on growing regional market; IBIS World (2023), SCA (2022) 2021 Annual Report; HT&E (2022) HT&E FY21 Results Presentation; Nine (2023) Annual Report 2022.

The ABC has a budget of almost \$1.3bn and would be able to afford higher royalties

The radio caps currently limit ABC sound recording royalty fees for radio broadcast to \$0.005 per head of the Australian population.

This amounted to a total of \$130,000 in FY23, or approximately 0.01% of the ABC's total funding and income for the year.


On the other hand, the ABC currently pays market rates for musical works royalties for radio broadcast, equivalent to approximately \$3.5 million.² This is close to 27 times the amount received for sound recording royalties.

If the ABC was to pay a similar amount for sound recording copyright, this would equate to just 0.28% of the ABC's total funding from government and other sources of income. The ABC is also a recipient of royalties from sound recordings.

Importantly, not all funding and income identified here would go towards ABC radio broadcast.

Estimated financial impact on ABC radio of removing radio caps

% of total budget¹, FY23 and FY24



¹ Australian Broadcasting Corporation (2023) *Entity resources and planned performance 2023-24*. Based on actual total net resourcing for FY23 and estimated actual total net resourcing for FY24. ² Based on APRA AMCOS total revenue from Australian radio, less estimated revenue from commercial radio and community radio.
Source: ABC (2023); Mandala analysis.



1

Radio caps have artificially constrained artist income since 1968

2

Removing the radio caps could increase music income of the most played artists by 78%

3

Removing radio caps could double the number of Australian artists played on the radio for the first time

4

Radio companies are well resourced and capable of paying higher rates

5

Appendix

Key assumptions


Category	Assumption	Value	Source	Notes
General	Average musical works royalty payment as a percent of radio broadcaster revenue (2022)	3.6%	Deloitte Access Economics & Commercial Radio and Audio (2023), <i>Connecting Communities, The economic and social impact of commercial radio and audio in Australia</i> ; APRA AMCOS (2023) Annual report; PPCA data	This figure is intended as an illustrative representation of possible sound recording royalty rates if the radio caps were removed, not the final amount sought to be paid for sound recording royalties. Final rates for sound recordings are to be determined as per the normal processes, including by negotiation between PPCA and radio broadcasters and a decision by the Copyright Tribunal (where necessary).
	Radio broadcast revenue growth rate (forecasts)	0.7%	IBIS World (2023), <i>Radio Broadcasting in Australia</i>	CAGR 2024 – 2029.
	Amount passed on to artists by record labels (if not registered as Registered Artist with PPCA)	50%	PPCA consultation with major record labels	
Artist income	Average music income of Australian artist who receives royalties (2022)	\$24,400	David Throsby and Katya Petetskaya (2024), <i>Getting Back to Making Art Work: an Economic Survey of Practising Professional Artists</i> .	
	Average total income of Australian artist who receives royalties (2022)	\$59,800	David Throsby and Katya Petetskaya (2024), <i>Getting Back to Making Art Work: an Economic Survey of Practising Professional Artists</i> .	
	Income growth rate (forecasts)	0.2% p.a.	ABS (2023), Average Weekly Earnings – Arts and Recreation	Change from 2022 to 2023.
	Distribution of artist royalties	Percentiles	PPCA distribution data	Estimated based on amount distributed to each artist by PPCA per year.
Record label distribution	Amount paid to record labels as royalties (2023)	\$0.5m	PPCA distribution data	
Radio station profit margin	Profit margin of 4 largest commercial radio stations under 'no caps' scenario	15.1%	SCA (2024) <i>2023 Annual Report</i> ; ARN Media (2024) <i>Annual Report 2023</i> ; Nine (2024) <i>Annual Report 2023</i> ; SMH (2022), <i>Nova radio tunes in with advertising rebound</i>	Revenue includes some digital audio. Figure applying new rate under 'no caps' scenario to total revenue across 4 radio stations and adjusting profit margins accordingly. Nova Entertainment profit margins are based on latest publicly available data which are financial figures from Year End 31 December 2021. Nova Entertainment is privately owned.
	Average profit margin for radio broadcast industry	13.3%	IBIS World (2023), <i>Radio Broadcasting in Australia</i>	
	ABC budget	1.2-1.3b	Australian Broadcasting Corporation (2023) <i>Entity resources and planned performance 2023-24</i>	Based on actual total net resourcing for FY23 and estimated actual total net resourcing for FY24.
	ABC rate under 'no caps' scenario	\$3.5m	Deloitte Access Economics & Commercial Radio and Audio (2023), <i>Connecting Communities, The economic and social impact of commercial radio and audio in Australia</i> ; APRA AMCOS (2023) Annual report; PPCA data	Estimated based on APRA AMCOS total revenue from Australian radio, less estimated revenue from commercial radio and community radio.
Additional artists	Additional artists receiving royalties per annum	132	IFPI (2024), <i>Global Music Report</i> ; PPCA distribution data	Based on regression analysis which shows a strong, positive relationship between record label revenue and total artists receiving royalties per annum. Total additional artists is calculated by finding additional artists supported under 'no cap' scenario based on record label revenue per artist receiving royalties.

1474291 Sound recording copyright royalties are distributed based on music usage and location of copyright owner

CONFIDENTIAL

- Not for Australian sound recordings
- PPCA operational costs
- Final remuneration for sound recordings

Illustrative flows of licence fees from broadcaster to sound recording copyright owner



1 Amount paid to artists who receive copyright royalties from record labels (not PPCA) assumed at 50% of royalty amount (based on amount passed on by the 3 major record labels; Mandala analysis). Source: PPCA (2024), *Distribution Information Guide*; One Music (2024), *Where do my fees actually go*; Mandala analysis.



AI MUSIC LICENSING DEALS

AS AT 25 MAY 2026

AI company/tool	Music licensors	Date	Details
Spotify	Universal Music Group	May 2026	Licensing recordings and musical works for AI-powered covers and remixes of songs from participating artists and songwriters, created by Spotify Premium users.
Udio (Gen AI music creation platform)	Kobalt	April 2026	Licensing of musical works that have opted in from Kobalt's catalog for training of Udio's forthcoming subscription platform.
Udio	Merlin	January 2026	Licensing of a new music creation and streaming platform, trained on licensed music from Merlin members who choose to participate, with compensation flowing back to those labels and artists.
NVIDIA	Universal Music Group	January 2026	Partnership agreement includes licensed training of music analysis model Music Flamingo using UMG catalog, and R&D into AI-powered music discovery and creation tools.
Splice	Universal Music Group	December 2025	Licensing and development of AI-powered music creation tools
Udio	Universal Music Group and Warner Music Group	November 2025	Licensing of a new music creation and streaming platform, to be launched in 2026, trained on licensed music. Users can customise music that has been licensed. The platform will be a closed-system: users cannot download or use generated music for a commercial purpose (e.g. uploading to DSPs).

AI MUSIC LICENSING DEALS

AS AT 25 MAY 2026

AI company/tool	Music licensors	Date	Details
Suno (Gen AI music creation platform)	Warner Music Group	November 2025	Licensing of an ethically trained AI music model with opportunities for fan interaction. WMG artists can opt in for the use of their names, images, likenesses, voices, and compositions to be used in new AI-generated music. Limitations will be placed on music downloads.
KLAY Vision Inc	Universal Music Group, Universal Music Publishing Group, Sony Music Entertainment (SME), Sony Music Publishing, Warner Music Group, and Warner Chappell Music.	November 2025	Licensing of a new AI music platform that reimagines listening with immersive, interactive tools, powered by KLAY's Large Music Model, trained entirely on licensed music.
Musixmatch	Sony Music Publishing, Universal Music Publishing Group and Warner Chappell Music	October 2025	Licensing of 15 million musical works for the training of music analysis and search tools.
KDDI (leading Japanese telecommunications company)	Universal Music Japan	October 2025	Strategic partnership for the development of "new music experiences for fans and artists" using Gen AI.
			Licensing of professional AI-powered music creation tools, designed in collaboration with artists.

AI MUSIC LICENSING DEALS

AS AT 25 MAY 2026

AI company/tool	Music licensors	Date	Details
Stability AI (Gen AI music creation platform)	Universal Music Group and Warner Music Group	October 2025 and November 2025	
	AudioSparx (music library and stock audio marketplace representing over 1M tracks)	September 2023	AI-generated text-to-music tool Stable Audio trained exclusively on licensed dataset from AudioSparx music library, with compensation for creators and an option to opt-out.
ElevenLabs	Kobalt and MERLIN	August 2025	Direct licensing deal with AI-generated music tool “Eleven Music”, and partnership for the development of professional-grade tool “Eleven Music Pro”.
	SourceAudio	July 2025	Licensing partnership giving ElevenLabs access to millions of pre-cleared songs hosted on the SourceAudio platform for AI training.
Various (launching as a pilot project with startups Songfox and Sureel)	STIM (Swedish Collective Management Organization for songwriters)	September 2025	Collective licensing framework for musical works in AI training and outputs, on the basis of a voluntary mandate from rightsholders.
Beatoven.ai	Rightsify, Soundtrack Loops, Symphonic Music, Bobby Cole, Vadi Sound, and Pro Sound Effects	August 2025	Fully licensed gen AI music tool “Maestro”, which has an ongoing revenue sharing component for rightsholders.

AI MUSIC LICENSING DEALS

AS AT 25 MAY 2026

AI company/tool	Music licensors	Date	Details
Jen Music	Various	April 2025	Fully licensed AI-generated music tool “StyleFilters”, trained on 40 licensed music catalogues. Artists who license one of their songs as a StyleFilter will receive 70 percent of the resulting revenues.
Hook	Downtown Music (music services company representing 4M artists and 5K business clients)	August 2024	AI-powered remixing app that enables users to create remixes of tracks from Downtown’s catalogue.
Musical AI (AI attribution and rights management company)	Symphonic Distribution (independent music distribution company representing thousands of record labels and artists)	August 2024	Allows rightsholders to opt in to join a licensed AI training dataset, and receive a certain percentage of revenue.
Vermilio	Sony Music Entertainment, Legacy Recordings and David Gilmour and the Orb	November 2023	Artist-led project allowing fans to create personalised AI-generated fan remixes as part of a promotional album campaign.
Undisclosed	Rightsify’ Global Copyright Exchange	2023-	Music datasets for training AI music models.
YouTube , BandLab , SoundLabs , ProRata	Universal Music Group	2023-2025	Various strategic partnerships and collaborations.

Other music deals with AI

Streaming Company	Music licensors	Date	Details
TikTok	Universal Music Group	May 2026	Multi-year licensing agreement that gives the social media platform access to UMG’s recording catalog, with terms covering AI protections.

AI MUSIC LICENSING DEALS

AS AT 25 MAY 2026

Streaming Company	Music licensors	Date	Details
NetEase Cloud Music	Universal Music Group	January 2026	Multi-year licensing agreement that gives the streaming platform access to UMG's recording catalog, with terms covering "AI exploration".
Spotify	Sony Music Group, Universal Music Group, Warner Music Group, Merlin, and Believe	October 2025	In principle agreements with for the development of AI-powered music products, in collaboration with artists and tech companies. These new tools are intended to be used in accordance with Spotify's AI guidelines.
Meta	Universal Music Group	August 2024	Expansion of multi-year music licensing agreement including guardrails to address "unauthorized AI-generated content."